The review process begins with the following, provided by the University Assessment Committee (UAC):

- (a) Official Announcement(s);
- (b) Reporting forms and reference documents;
- (c) Data profiles provided by IR (where available)
- (d) Invitation to Workshop(s): (periodic/not offered every year)



- (a) UAC Check-in with Department Chairs and **Program Coordinators** with a reminder:
- (b) Ensure awareness of process & forms, and answer questions.



- (a) UAC Check-in with Department Chairs and **Program Coordinators** with a reminder:
- (b) Ensure awareness of process & forms, and answer questions.



- (a) The UAC holds a norming session to facilitate common interpretation of review criteria.
- (b) Reviews are assigned to appropriate UAC members.



- (a) Submit completed reviews using the appropriate Google Form (see above)
- (b) Individuals submitting bridge reviews should automatically receive a copy of their submission from Google Forms.



- (a) UAC Check-in with Department Chairs and **Program Coordinators** with a reminder.
- (b) Answer questions and offer assistance if needed.



(a) UAC academic program reviewers use process review guidelines to provide feedback on bridge assessment reports.



(a) UAC leadership share feedback with appropriate individuals (Dept. Chairs and/or **Program Coordinators** who completed the review, relevant leadership). Feedback is emailed and uploaded to appropriate folder in the

in Google



(a) Opportunity to discuss UAC feedback on assessment processes prior to the next round of review

Drive.

^{*}Only accessible to appropriate individuals (e.g. chair, dean, provost, current UAC members) through their '@wilkes.edu' email address.